arXiv:1410.0780v3 [math.PR] 29 Jul 2015

SMALL BALL ESTIMATES FOR QUASI-NORMS

OMER FRIEDLAND, OHAD GILADI, AND OLIVIER GUÉDON

Abstract. This note contains two types of small ball estimates for random vectors in finite dimensional spaces equipped with a quasi-norm. In the first part, we obtain bounds for the small ball probability of random vectors under some smoothness assumptions on their density function. In the second part, we obtain Littlewood-Offord type estimates for quasi-norms. This generalizes results which were previously obtained in [FS07, RV09].

1. Introduction

Let $E = \big(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|\big)$ be an n-dimensional space equipped with a quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$, and let X be a random vector in E. The present note is concerned with small ball estimates of X, i.e., estimates of the form

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\|X\| \le t\big) \le \varphi(t), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\varphi(t) \to 0$ as $t \to 0$.

Estimates of the form (1.1) have been studied under different assumptions on E and X. One direction is the case when $E = \ell_2^n$, i.e., when $\|\cdot\| = |\cdot|_2$ is the Euclidean norm, and X is assumed to be log-concave or, more generally, κ-concave. Recall that a log-concave vector is a vector that satisfies that for every $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and every $\lambda \in [0,1]$,

$$\mathbb{P}\big(X \in \lambda A + (1-\lambda)B\big) \ge \mathbb{P}\big(X \in A\big)^{\lambda} \cdot \mathbb{P}\big(X \in B\big)^{1-\lambda}.$$

For such vectors it was shown in [Pao12] that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(|X|_2 \le \sqrt{n}t\big) \le \big(Ct\big)^{C'\sqrt{n}},$$

and this result was later generalized in [AGL$^+$12] to κ-concave vectors.

Another direction which has been studied is the case when X is a Gaussian vector, and $\|\cdot\|$ is a *general* norm. For example, in [LO05] it was shown that if X is a centered Gaussian vector and $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with unit ball K such that its n-dimensional Gaussian measure, denoted $\gamma_n(K)$, is less than $1/2$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\|X\| \le t\big) \le \big(2t\big)^{\frac{\omega^2}{4}} \gamma_n(K),$$

where ω is the inradius of K. See also [LS01] for an earlier survey of the subject.

Finally, let us mention that small ball estimates play a rôle in other problems, such as invertibility of random matrices and convex geometry. See e.g. [GM04, RV09, PP13].

Date: July 30, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60D05.

Part of this work was done while the second named author was visiting the University of Alberta as a PIMS postdoctoral fellow. The authors would also like to thank the referees for their valuable comments.

While the above results have a more geometric flavor, in the present note we will try to present a more analytic approach. For a random vector X, let ϕ_X be its characteristic function ,i.e.,

$$\phi_X(\xi) = \mathbb{E}\exp\big(i\langle \xi, X\rangle\big).$$

Recall the following result:

Theorem 1.1. [FG11, Theorem 3.1] *Assume that $\|\cdot\|$ is a quasi-norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with unit ball K. Then for every $t > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\|X\| \le t\big) \le |K| \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|\phi_X(\xi)\big| d\xi. \tag{1.2}$$

Theorem 1.1 says that one can obtain small ball estimates by estimating the L_1 norm of the characteristic function of the random vector. Moreover, one can consider a "smoothed" version of Theorem 1.1: consider instead of X the random vector $X + tG$, where G is a standard Gaussian vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$ which is independent of X. Since $\|\cdot\|$ is a quasi-norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a constant $C_K > 0$ such that

$$\|x + y\| \le C_K(\|x\| + \|y\|),\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{1.3}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\big(\|X + tG\| \le 2C_K t\big) &\ge \mathbb{P}\big(\|X\| \le t \wedge \|G\| \le 1\big)\\ &= \mathbb{P}\big(\|X\| \le t\big) \cdot \mathbb{P}\big(\|G\| \le 1\big)\\ &= \mathbb{P}\big(\|X\| \le t\big) \cdot \gamma_n(K),\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_n(\cdot)$ is the n-dimensional Gaussian measure. Thus, Theorem 1.1 implies

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\|X\| \le t\big) \le \frac{\mathbb{P}\big(\|X + tG\| \le 2C_K t\big)}{\gamma_n(K)} \le \frac{|K|}{\gamma_n(K)} \left(\frac{C_K t}{\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|\phi_{X+tG}(\xi)\big| d\xi.$$

Using the independence of X and G,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\big(\|X\| \le t\big) &\le \frac{|K|}{\gamma_n(K)} \left(\frac{C_K t}{\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|\phi_X(\xi)\big| \phi_{tG}(\xi) d\xi\\ &= \frac{|K|}{\gamma_n(K)} \left(C_K' t\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|\phi_X(\xi)\big| e^{-\frac{t^2|\xi|_2^2}{2}} d\xi.\end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

Inequality (1.4) enables one to obtain small ball estimates in cases where (1.2) cannot be applied. We use it for two different sets of examples. In the first set of examples we consider continuous random vector under certain assumptions on their characteristic functions (which are nothing but the Fourier transform of their density functions). This is discussed in Section 2, Theorem 2.1. In the second set of examples, we consider random vectors X of the form

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i a_i,$$

where the a_i are fixed vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the α_i's are i.i.d. random variables that satisfy a certain anti-concentration condition. This problem and its applications have been studied by many authors, first in the one dimensional case (i.e., when $n = 1$) and later in the multidimensional case. See [FS07, RV08, RV09, TV09a, TV09b, TV12, Ngu12, NV13] and

the reference therein for more information on this subject. In the case $E = \ell_2^n$, the problem of finding a small ball estimate have been previously considered in [FS07, RV09] and is called a Littlewood-Offord type estimate. Here such an estimate is obtained for a general quasi-norm. This is discussed in Section 3, Theorem 3.1. We recall that in [RV09], Littlewood-Offord estimates were used to estimate the smallest singular value of a rectangular matrix, where the smallest singular value of a matrix A is defined as $\inf_{|x|_2=1} |Ax|_2$. It would be interesting to try and use Theorem 3.1 to estimate $\inf_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|$ where now $\|\cdot\|$ is a general norm, or even quasi-norm. See e.g. [LL15] for some recent results in this direction.

Notation. In this note C, C', etc. always denote absolute constants. $\|\cdot\|$ denotes a quasi-norm with unit ball K. $|\cdot|_2$ denotes the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$. $B(x,r)$ denotes the closed ball around x with radius r with respect to the Euclidean norm. $\gamma_n(\cdot)$ denotes the n-dimensional Gaussian measure.

2. Small ball estimates for continuous random vectors

In this section we consider continuous random vectors, i.e., vectors with density function f_X. For such vectors we have

$$\phi_X(\xi) = \mathbb{E}\exp\big(i\langle \xi, X\rangle\big) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle \xi, x\rangle} f_X(x)dx = \hat{f}(\xi).$$

We can rewrite (1.4) in the following way:

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\|X\| \le t\big) \le \frac{|K|}{\gamma_n(K)} (C'_K t)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|\hat{f}_X(\xi)\big| e^{-\frac{t^2|\xi|_2^2}{2}} d\xi.$$

This suggests that small ball estimates are related to weighted norms of $\hat{f}_X$ which are in turn known to be related to smoothness properties of f_X. We will study small ball estimates in terms of Sobolev norms.

2.1. Small ball estimates and Sobolev norm.

Recall the definition of Sobolev norm: if $\mathcal{F}$ is the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$\|f\|_{\beta,p} = \|f\|_{H_{\beta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\big(1+|\xi|^2\big)^{\beta/2} \hat{f} \right) \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $p \in (1,\infty)$ and $\beta > 0$.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that X is a random vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that $1 < p \le 2$. Then for every quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with unit ball K,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|X\| \le t\right) \le C_K'^n \frac{|K|}{\gamma_n(K)} \|f_X\|_{\beta,p} \cdot M(\beta,p,n,t). \tag{2.2}$$

If $pt^2 \le 2$, then

$$M(\beta,p,n,t) \le \begin{cases} 2^{\frac{n}{2p}-\frac{\beta}{2}} |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|^{1/p} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-\beta p}{2}\right)^{1/p} p^{\frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{n}{2p}} \cdot t^{\beta+\frac{n}{p'}} & 2 < n-\beta p, \\ 2^{\frac{n}{2p}-\frac{\beta}{2}} |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|^{1/p} \left(\log\left(\frac{2e}{pt^2}\right)\right)^{1/p} p^{\frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{n}{2p}} \cdot t^{\beta+\frac{n}{p'}} & 0 < n-\beta p \le 2, \\ |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|^{1/p} \left(\log\left(\frac{2e}{pt^2}\right)\right)^{1/p} t^n & n-\beta p \le 0, \end{cases}$$

where $p' = p/(p-1)$. Otherwise, if $pt^2 \geq 2$, then

$$M(\beta,p,n,t) \leq \begin{cases} |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|^{1/p}\left(2e^{-\frac{pt^2}{18}} + \left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\beta p}{2}\right)\right)^{1/p} t^n & 2 \leq pt^2 \leq n-\beta p, \\ 3^{1/p}|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|^{1/p} e^{-\frac{t^2}{18}} t^n & n-\beta p \leq pt^2 \leq n, \\ |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|^{1/p}\left(\frac{2n}{pt^2}\log\left(\frac{ept^2}{n}\right)\right)^{\frac{n}{2p}} t^n & n \leq pt^2. \end{cases}$$

The main tool in the proof of Theorem 2.1 is the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $p \in [1,2]$. If $pt^2 \leq 2$ then*

$$\frac{\left\| (1+|\xi|^2)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} e^{-\frac{t^2|\xi|^2}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p}{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|} \leq \begin{cases} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-\beta p}{2}\right)\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} & \beta p < n-2 \\ \log\left(\frac{2e}{pt^2}\right)\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} & n-2 \leq \beta p < n \\ \log\left(\frac{2e}{pt^2}\right) & \beta p \geq n. \end{cases}$$

Otherwise, if $pt^2 \geq 2$ then

$$\frac{\left\| (1+|\xi|^2)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} e^{-\frac{t^2|\xi|^2}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p}{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|} \leq \begin{cases} 2e^{-\frac{pt^2}{18}} + \left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\beta p}{2}\right) & 2 \leq pt^2 \leq n-\beta p, \\ 3e^{-\frac{pt^2}{18}} & n-\beta p \leq pt^2 \leq n, \\ \left(\frac{2n}{pt^2}\log\left(\frac{ept^2}{n}\right)\right)^{n/2} & n \leq pt^2. \end{cases}$$

As part of the proof of Lemma 2.2, we need the following.

Proposition 2.3. *Assume that $x \geq \alpha \geq 1$. Then*

$$\int_x^\infty r^{\alpha-1}e^{-r}dr \leq \frac{2^{\alpha+1}x^\alpha e^{-x}}{\alpha}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^\infty r^{\alpha-1}e^{-r}dr &= e^{-x}\int_0^\infty (u+x)^{\alpha-1}e^{-u}du \\ &= e^{-x}\left[\int_0^x (u+x)^{\alpha-1}e^{-u}du + \int_x^\infty (u+x)^{\alpha-1}e^{-u}du\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Now,

$$\int_0^x (u+x)^{\alpha-1}e^{-u}du \leq \int_0^x (u+x)^{\alpha-1}du = \frac{x^\alpha\left(2^\alpha-1\right)}{\alpha} \leq \frac{2^\alpha x^\alpha}{\alpha}.$$

For the second integral, since $x+u \leq 2u$ we have

$$\int_x^\infty (u+x)^{\alpha-1}e^{-u}du \leq 2^{\alpha-1}\int_x^\infty u^{\alpha-1}e^{-u}du.$$

Altogether, we get in (2.3),

$$\int_x^\infty r^{\alpha-1}e^{-r}dr \leq \frac{2^\alpha x^\alpha e^{-x}}{\alpha} + 2^{\alpha-1}e^{-x}\int_x^\infty r^{\alpha-1}e^{-r}dr.$$

Since $x \geq \alpha$, we have, $2^{\alpha-1}e^{-x} \leq 1/2$, which completes the proof. □

We can now proceed to the proof of Lemma 2.2

Proof of Lemma 2.2. To estimate the norm, notice that $(1+|\xi|^2)^{-\beta/2} \leq \min\left(1, |\xi|^{-\beta}\right)$, and so using polar coordinates

$$\left\| \left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{-\beta/2} e^{-\frac{t^2|\xi|_2^2}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \leq |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \int_0^\infty r^{n-1} \min\left(1, r^{-\beta p}\right) e^{-\frac{pt^2r^2}{2}} dr.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty r^{n-1} \min\left(1, r^{-\beta p}\right) e^{-\frac{pt^2r^2}{2}} dr \\
&\quad = \int_0^1 r^{n-1} e^{-\frac{pt^2r^2}{2}} dr + \int_1^\infty r^{n-1-\beta p} e^{-\frac{pt^2r^2}{2}} dr \\
&\quad = \int_0^1 r^{n-1} e^{-\frac{pt^2r^2}{2}} dr + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} \int_{\frac{pt^2}{2}}^\infty r^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}-1} e^{-r} dr. \qquad (2.4)
\end{aligned}$$

Case 1: Assume $pt^2 \leq 2$. To bound the first term in (2.4), use the trivial bound

$$\int_0^1 r^{n-1} e^{-\frac{pt^2r^2}{2}} dr \leq \int_0^1 r^{n-1}\, dr = \frac{1}{n}. \qquad (2.5)$$

To bound the second term, note first that

$$\int_{\frac{pt^2}{2}}^\infty r^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}-1} e^{-r} dr = \int_{\frac{pt^2}{2}}^1 r^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}-1} e^{-r} dr + \int_1^\infty r^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}-1} e^{-r} dr. \qquad (2.6)$$

Since $pt^2 \leq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\frac{pt^2}{2}}^1 r^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}-1} e^{-r} dr &\leq \int_{\frac{pt^2}{2}}^1 r^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}-1} dr \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{2}{n-\beta p}\left(1 - \left(\frac{pt^2}{2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}\right) & \beta p \neq n, \\ \log\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right) & \beta p = n, \end{cases} \qquad (2.7)
\end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\int_1^\infty r^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}-1} e^{-r} dr \leq \begin{cases} 1 & \frac{n-\beta p}{2} - 1 \leq 0, \\ \Gamma\left(\frac{n-\beta p}{2}\right) & \frac{n-\beta p}{2} - 1 > 0. \end{cases} \qquad (2.8)$$

Plugging (2.7) and (2.8) into (2.6), we get

$$\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} \int_{\frac{pt^2}{2}}^{\infty} r^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}-1} e^{-r} dr \leq$$
$$\begin{cases} \frac{2}{n-\beta p}\left(\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}-1\right)+\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\beta p}{2}\right) & \beta p < n-2, \\ \frac{2}{n-\beta p}\left(\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}-1\right)+\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} & n-2 \leq \beta p < n, \\ \log\left(\frac{2e}{pt^2}\right) & \beta p = n, \\ \frac{2}{\beta p-n}\left(1-\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} & \beta p > n. \end{cases}$$

Now, if $a \geq 1$ then we have

$$\left|\frac{a^x-1}{x}\right| \leq \begin{cases} a^x \log a & 0 < x \leq 1, \\ a^x & x \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus, when $\beta p < n-2$ we have

$$\frac{2}{n-\beta p}\left(\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}-1\right)+\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\beta p}{2}\right)$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}\left(1+\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\beta p}{2}\right)\right) \leq 2\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\beta p}{2}\right).$$

When $n-2 \leq \beta p < n$ we have

$$\frac{2}{n-\beta p}\left(\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}-1\right)+\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}\log\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)+\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} = \left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}\log\left(\frac{2e}{pt^2}\right).$$

Also, when $\beta p > n$ we use the fact that when $0 < a \leq 1$ and $x > 0$,

$$\frac{1-a^x}{x} \leq \log\left(\frac{1}{a}\right),$$

and get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{\beta p-n}\left(1-\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} &\leq \log\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)+\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} \\ &\leq \log\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)+1 \\ &= \log\left(\frac{2e}{pt^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Altogether,

$$\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} \int_{\frac{pt^2}{2}}^{\infty} r^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}-1} e^{-r} dr \leq \begin{cases} 2\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-\beta p}{2}\right) & \beta p < n-2, \\ \left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} \log\left(\frac{2e}{pt^2}\right) & n-2 \leq \beta p < n, \\ \log\left(\frac{2e}{pt^2}\right) & \beta p \geq n. \end{cases}$$

Plugging this into (2.4) and using (2.5), we get

$$\int_0^{\infty} r^{n-1} \min\left(1, r^{-p\beta}\right) e^{-\frac{pt^2 r^2}{2}} dr \leq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-\beta p}{2}\right) & \beta p < n-2 \\ \left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} \log\left(\frac{2e}{pt^2}\right) & n-2 \leq \beta p < n \\ \log\left(\frac{2e}{pt^2}\right) & \beta p \geq n, \end{cases}$$

which completes the proof in the case $pt^2 \leq 2$.

Case 2: Assume $pt^2 \geq 2$. To estimate the first term in (2.4), we consider two different cases. If $pt^2 \geq n$, choose

$$r_0 = \sqrt{\frac{n}{pt^2} \log\left(\frac{pt^2}{n}\right)},$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 r^{n-1} e^{-\frac{pt^2 r^2}{2}} dr &= \int_0^{r_0} r^{n-1} e^{-\frac{pt^2 r^2}{2}} dr + \int_{r_0}^1 r^{n-1} e^{-\frac{pt^2 r^2}{2}} dr \\ &\leq \int_0^{r_0} r^{n-1}\, dr + \int_{r_0}^1 r e^{-\frac{pt^2 r^2}{2}} dr \\ &\leq \frac{r_0^n}{n} + \frac{1}{pt^2} e^{-\frac{pt^2 r_0^2}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{n}{pt^2}\log\left(\frac{pt^2}{n}\right)\right)^{n/2} + \frac{1}{pt^2}\left(\frac{n}{pt^2}\right)^{n/2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{n}{pt^2}\log\left(\frac{ept^2}{n}\right)\right)^{n/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, if $pt^2 \leq n$, choose

$$r_0 = e^{-\frac{pt^2}{n}}.$$

Note that we have, say, $r_0 \geq 1/3$. Then, since $1 - e^{-x} \leq x$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 r^{n-1} e^{-\frac{pt^2 r^2}{2}} dr &\leq \frac{r_0^n}{n} + \frac{1}{pt^2}\left(e^{-\frac{pt^2 r_0^2}{2}} - e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} e^{-pt^2} + \frac{e^{-\frac{pt^2 r_0^2}{2}}}{pt^2} \cdot \frac{pt^2(1-r_0^2)}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} e^{-pt^2} + \frac{pt^2}{n} e^{-\frac{pt^2}{18}} \\ &\leq 2e^{-\frac{pt^2}{18}}.\end{aligned}$$

For the first term in (2.4) we thus have (assuming that $n \geq 2$),

$$\int_0^1 r^{n-1} e^{-\frac{pt^2 r^2}{2}} dr \leq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{n}{pt^2} \log\left(\frac{ept^2}{n}\right)\right)^{n/2} & pt^2 \geq n, \\ 2e^{-\frac{pt^2}{18}} & pt^2 \leq n. \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

If $n - \beta p \leq 2$ then

$$\int_1^\infty r^{n-\beta p-1} e^{-\frac{pt^2 r^2}{2}} dr \leq \int_1^\infty r e^{-\frac{pt^2 r^2}{2}} dr = \frac{e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2}}}{pt^2} \leq e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2}}. \tag{2.10}$$

Otherwise, if $n - \beta p \geq 2$, then again we consider two different cases. If $pt^2 \leq n - \beta p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} \int_{\frac{pt^2}{2}}^\infty r^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}-1} e^{-r} dr &\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} \int_0^\infty r^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}-1} e^{-r} dr \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-\beta p}{2}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Otherwise, suppose that we still have $n - \beta p \geq 2$, but now $pt^2 \geq n - \beta p$. Then by Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} \int_{\frac{pt^2}{2}}^\infty r^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}-1} e^{-r} dr \leq \frac{2^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2}}}{n - \beta p} \leq 2^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2}}. \tag{2.12}$$

Altogether, combining (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} \int_{\frac{pt^2}{2}}^\infty r^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}-1} e^{-r} dr \leq \begin{cases} 2^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2}} & 2 \leq n - \beta p \leq pt^2, \\ \left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-\beta p}{2}\right) & 2 \leq pt^2 \leq n - \beta p, \\ e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2}} & n - \beta p \leq 2 \leq pt^2. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

Plugging (2.9) and (2.13) into (2.4) gives

$$\int_0^\infty r^{n-1}\min\left(1,r^{-\beta p}\right)e^{-\frac{pt^2r^2}{2}}dr \leq$$

$$\begin{cases} 2e^{-\frac{pt^2}{18}}+\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\beta p}{2}\right) & 2\leq pt^2\leq n-\beta p,\\ 2e^{-\frac{pt^2}{18}}+2^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2}} & 2\leq n-\beta p\leq pt^2\leq n,\\ \left(\frac{n}{pt^2}\log\left(\frac{ept^2}{n}\right)\right)^{n/2}+2^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2}} & 2\leq n-\beta p\leq n\leq pt^2,\\ 2e^{-\frac{pt^2}{18}}+e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2}} & n-\beta p\leq 2\leq pt^2\leq n,\\ \left(\frac{n}{pt^2}\log\left(\frac{ept^2}{n}\right)\right)^{n/2}+e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2}} & n-\beta p\leq 2\leq n\leq pt^2. \end{cases}$$

In order to simplify the last expression, first notice that when $n\leq pt^2$, we have

$$e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2}}\leq\left(\frac{n}{pt^2}\log\left(\frac{ept^2}{n}\right)\right)^{n/2}.$$

Also, we have that whenever $pt^2\geq n-\beta p\geq 2$, since we have that $1-\log 2>1/4$ we get the following estimate,

$$2^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2}}\leq e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2}(1-\log 2)}\leq e^{-\frac{pt^2}{8}}\leq e^{-\frac{pt^2}{18}}.$$

Hence, we conclude that,

$$\int_0^\infty r^{n-1}\min\left(1,r^{-\beta p}\right)e^{-\frac{pt^2r^2}{2}}dr\leq\begin{cases} 2e^{-\frac{pt^2}{18}}+\left(\frac{2}{pt^2}\right)^{\frac{n-\beta p}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\beta p}{2}\right) & 2\leq pt^2\leq n-\beta p,\\ 3e^{-\frac{pt^2}{18}} & n-\beta p\leq pt^2\leq n,\\ \left(\frac{2n}{pt^2}\log\left(\frac{ept^2}{n}\right)\right)^{n/2} & n\leq pt^2. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. □

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. we have,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|\hat{f}_X(\xi)\right|e^{-\frac{t^2|\xi|_2^2}{2}}d\xi\leq\left\|\left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{\beta/2}\hat{f}_X\right\|_{L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\left\|\left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{-\beta/2}e^{-\frac{t^2|\xi|_2^2}{2}}\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Since $1<p\leq 2$, $\mathcal{F}:L_p\to L_{p'}$ is bounded with norm 1. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{\beta/2}\hat{f}_X\right\|_{L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \left\|\mathcal{F}\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{\beta/2}\hat{f}_X\right)\right)\right\|_{L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\leq \left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{\beta/2}\hat{f}_X\right)\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\overset{(2.1)}{=} \|f_X\|_{\beta,p}.\end{aligned}$$

Altogether,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|\hat{f}_X(\xi)\right|e^{-\frac{t^2|\xi|_2^2}{2}}d\xi\leq\left\|\left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{-\beta/2}e^{-\frac{t^2|\xi|_2^2}{2}}\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_X\|_{\beta,p}.$$

Now use Lemma 2.2 to complete the proof. □

2.2. **Sobolev Embeddings and Theorem 2.1.** We did not study the sharpness of Theorem 2.1. In some cases, Sobolev Embedding Theorems can imply simpler proofs and better dependence in t. We are grateful for the referee who pointed this to us.

The case $n - \beta p < 0$. In this case we can write

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\|X\| \le t\big) = \int_{tK} f_X(x)dx \le |K|t^n \|f_X\|_\infty. \tag{2.14}$$

Since we assumed in Theorem 2.1 that $p \le 2$, we have just as in the proof of Theorem 2.1

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_X\|_\infty \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}_X(\xi)|d\xi &\le \left\| \big(1+|\xi|^2\big)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left\| \big(1+|\xi|^2\big)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \hat{f}_X(\xi) \right\|_{L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\le \left\| \big(1+|\xi|^2\big)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_X\|_{\beta,p}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \big(1+|\xi|^2\big)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d\xi}{(1+|\xi|^2)^{\frac{\beta p}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{n-1}dr}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{\beta p}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\le |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \left(\frac{1}{\beta p - n} + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Plugging (2.15) and (2.16) into (2.14), we get

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\|X\| \le t\big) \le |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \left(\frac{1}{\beta p - n} + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |K|t^n \|f_X\|_{\beta,p}.$$

While the bound gives a better dependence on t when t is small (as it removes the log term), its dependence on the other parameters can be worse as the implied constant tends to infinity as $\beta p \to n$.

The case $n - \beta p > 0$. The Sobolev Embedding Theorem (see e.g. [Bre11, Ch. 9] for the case where β is an integer)

$$\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C(\beta, n)\|f\|_{\beta,p},$$

where $q = \frac{np}{n-\beta p}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\big(\|X\| \le t\big) = \int_{tK} f_X(x)dx &\le |tK|^{\frac{1}{q'}} \|f_X\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\le C(\beta, n)|K|^{\frac{1}{q'}} t^{\beta + \frac{n}{p'}} \|f_X\|_{\beta,p}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Note that once again, (2.17) gives a better dependence on t for small values of t. However, the term $|K|^{\frac{1}{q'}}$ might be worse than the term that appears in Theorem 2.1.

The case $n - \beta p = 0$. In this case we have

$$\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(\beta, n, q)\|f\|_{\beta,p}.$$

where now $q \geq p$ and $C(\beta, n, q) \to \infty$ as $q \to \infty$. As before, using the Sobolev Embedding Theorem, we get

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\|X\| \leq t\big) \leq C(\beta, n, q)|K|^{\frac{1}{q}} t^{\beta + \frac{n}{p'}} \|f_X\|_{\beta,p},$$

which gives a better dependence in t when t is small, but possibly a worse dependence on the other parameters.

3. Littlewood-Offord type estimates

Let $a_1, \ldots, a_N$ be (deterministic) vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and denote by A the $N \times n$ matrix whose rows are $a_1, \ldots, a_N$. Let $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_N$ be i.i.d. random variables for which there exists $b \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\left(|\delta_i - x| \leq 1\right) \leq 1 - b. \tag{3.1}$$

Now, consider the random vector

$$X = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \delta_k a_k. \tag{3.2}$$

As in [FS07, RV09], the small ball estimate of X involves the least common denominator of the matrix A. Thus, for $\alpha > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0,1)$, define

$$\mathrm{LCD}_{\alpha,\gamma}(A) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \inf\left\{|\theta|_2 : \theta \in \mathbb{R}^n, d_2\left(A\theta, \mathbb{Z}^n\right) < \min\left(\gamma|A\theta|_2, \alpha\right)\right\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Recall that $|\cdot|_2$ denotes the Euclidean norm.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be defined as in (3.2), and assume that the $N \times n$ matrix A satisfies $|A\theta|_2 \geq |\theta|_2$ for all θ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Assume also that $t \geq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\mathrm{LCD}_{\alpha,\gamma}(A)}$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|X\| \leq t\right) \leq \frac{|K|}{\gamma_n(K)} \left(\frac{C_K}{\pi}\right)^n \left(\left(\frac{t}{\gamma\sqrt{b}}\right)^n + \exp\left(-b\alpha^2\right)\right),$$

where C_K is again the quasi-norm constant from (1.3). In particular, for any $p > 0$, if we let $|x|_p = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^p\right)^{1/p}$ for $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|X|_p \leq t n^{1/p}\right) \leq (C \cdot C_p)^n \left(\left(\frac{t}{\gamma\sqrt{b}}\right)^n + \exp\left(-b\alpha^2\right)\right),$$

where $C_p = \min\left\{2^{1/p-1}, 1\right\}$.

The first step of the proof is to estimate the small ball probability using the integer structure of the vectors a_i. To do that, for a given $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$f(\theta) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \left|\frac{z}{t} A\theta - m\right|_2. \tag{3.4}$$

Lemma 3.2 (Small ball estimate in terms of integer structure)**.** *Let X be a random vector as in* (3.2) *and let $t > 0$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|X\| \leq t\right) \leq \frac{|K|}{\gamma_n(K)} \left(C'_K t\right)^n \cdot \sup_{z \geq \frac{1}{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-4bf(\theta)^2 - |\theta|_2^2/2} d\theta.$$

Proof. By (1.4) we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|X\| \leq t\right) \leq \frac{|K|}{\gamma_n\left(K\right)} \left(C'_K t\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\phi_X(\xi)\right| e^{-\frac{t^2|\xi|_2^2}{2}} d\xi.$$

Setting $\theta = t\xi$,

$$t^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\phi_X(\xi)\right| e^{-\frac{t^2|\xi|_2^2}{2}} d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\phi_X(\theta/t)\right| e^{-\frac{|\theta|_2^2}{2}} d\theta. \tag{3.5}$$

Using the definition of X, and the independence of $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\phi_X\left(\theta/t\right)\right| &= \mathbb{E} \exp\left(i \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i a_i, \theta/t \right\rangle \right) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^N \mathbb{E} \exp\left(i\delta_k \frac{\langle a_k, \theta\rangle}{t} \right) = \prod_{k=1}^N \left| \phi_\delta \left(\frac{\langle \theta, a_k\rangle}{t} \right) \right|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where δ is an independent copy of $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_N$. In order to estimate the right side of (3.6), follow the conditioning argument that was used in [FS07, RV09]. Let δ' be an independent copy of δ, and denote by $\bar{\delta}$ the symmetric random variable $\delta - \delta'$. We have, $\left|\phi_\delta\left(\xi\right)\right|^2 = \mathbb{E}\cos\left(\xi\bar{\delta}\right)$. Using the inequality $|x| \leq \exp\left(-\left(1 - x^2\right)/2\right)$, which is valid for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$\left|\phi_\delta\left(\xi\right)\right| \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\left(1 - \mathbb{E}\cos\left(\xi\bar{\delta}\right)\right)}{2} \right). \tag{3.7}$$

By assumption (3.1) it follows that $\mathbb{P}\left(|\bar{\delta}| \geq 1\right) \geq b$. Therefore, by conditioning on $\bar{\delta}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \mathbb{E}\cos\left(\xi\bar{\delta}\right) &\geq \mathbb{P}\left(|\bar{\delta}| \geq 1\right) \cdot \mathbb{E}\left(1 - \cos\left(\xi\bar{\delta}\right) \Big| |\bar{\delta}| \geq 1 \right) \\ &\geq b\mathbb{E}\left(1 - \cos\left(\xi\bar{\delta}\right) \Big| |\bar{\delta}| \geq 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the fact that $1 - \cos\theta \geq \frac{2}{\pi^2}\theta^2$, for any $|\theta| \leq \pi$, we have for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$1 - \cos\theta \geq \frac{2}{\pi^2} \min_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\theta - 2\pi m|^2.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \mathbb{E}\cos\left(\xi\bar{\delta}\right) &\geq \frac{2b}{\pi^2} \cdot \mathbb{E}\left(\min_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left|\xi\bar{\delta} - 2\pi m\right|^2 \Big| |\bar{\delta}| \geq 1 \right) \\ &= 8b \cdot \mathbb{E}\left(\min_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left|\xi\bar{\delta} - m\right|^2 \Big| |\bar{\delta}| \geq 1/2\pi \right). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into (3.7) gives

$$\left|\phi_\delta(\xi)\right| \leq \exp\left(-4b\mathbb{E}\left(\min_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\left|\xi\bar{\delta}-m\right|^2\middle|\left|\bar{\delta}\right|\geq 1/2\pi\right)\right). \tag{3.8}$$

Replacing the conditional expectation with supremum over all the possible values $z \geq 1/2\pi$ and using Jensen's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\phi_X\left(\theta/t\right)\right| e^{-|\theta|_2^2/2} d\theta \\
&\quad \overset{(3.6)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \left|\phi_\delta\left(\frac{\langle\theta, a_k\rangle}{t}\right)\right| e^{-|\theta|_2^2/2} d\theta \\
&\quad \overset{(3.8)}{\leq} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-4b\cdot\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}\min_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\left|\frac{\langle\theta,a_k\rangle}{t}\bar{\delta}-m\right|^2\middle|\left|\bar{\delta}\right|\geq 1/2\pi\right)-|\theta|_2^2/2\right) d\theta \\
&\quad \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\exp\left(-4b\min_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^N}\left|\frac{\bar{\delta}}{t}A\theta-m\right|_2^2-|\theta|_2^2/2\right)d\theta\middle|\left|\bar{\delta}\right|\geq 1/2\pi\right] \\
&\quad \leq \sup_{z\geq 1/2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\exp\left(-4bf\left(\theta\right)^2-|\theta|_2^2/2\right)d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.5) the result follows. □

Define the set

$$T_s \overset{\text{def}}{=} \left\{\theta\in\mathbb{R}^n : f(\theta)\leq s\right\}.$$

The next step in the proof is to rewrite the integral that appears in Lemma 3.2 in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\exp\left(-4bf\left(\theta\right)^2\right)\exp\left(-|\theta|_2^2/2\right)d\theta \\
&\qquad = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{s\geq f(\theta)} 8bs\exp\left(-4bs^2\right)ds\exp\left(-|\theta|_2^2/2\right)d\theta \\
&\qquad = (2\pi)^{n/2}\int_0^\infty 8bs\exp\left(-4bs^2\right)\gamma_n\left(T_s\right)ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

which means that we have to bound $\gamma_n(T_s)$. To do that, we start with the following covering lemma.

Lemma 3.3 (Covering of T_s)**.** *Let $\alpha > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0,1)$. Assume that $t \geq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\mathrm{LCD}_{\alpha,\gamma}(A)}$. Assume also that $|A\theta|_2 \geq |\theta|_2$ for all $\theta\in\mathbb{R}^n$. If $0\leq s\leq \alpha/2$, then there exist vectors $\{x_i\}_{i\in I}\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

$$T_s \subseteq \bigcup_{i\in I} B(x_i, r) \text{ and } |x_i - x_{i'}|_2 \geq R, \forall i\neq i', \tag{3.10}$$

where $r=\frac{2st}{\gamma z}$ and $R=\frac{\sqrt{n}}{z}$. Moreover, for any $j\geq 1$,

$$\mathrm{card}\left(\left\{i\in I : jR\leq |x_i|_2 < (j+1)R\right\}\right) \leq n2^n(j+1)^{n-1}. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. Let $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in T_s$. By (3.4), there exists $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that

$$\left|\frac{z}{t} A\theta_1 - p_1\right| \leq s \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\frac{z}{t} A\theta_2 - p_2\right| \leq s.$$

By the triangle inequality,

$$\left|\frac{z}{t} A\left(\theta_1 - \theta_2\right) - \left(p_1 - p_2\right)\right| \leq 2s,$$

which means that $d_2\left(A\tau, \mathbb{Z}^N\right) \leq 2s \leq \alpha$, where $\tau = z\left(\theta_1 - \theta_2\right)/t$. By (3.3) this implies that either

$$|\tau|_2 \geq \mathrm{LCD}_{\alpha,\gamma}\left(A\right),$$

or

$$\alpha \geq 2s \geq d_2\left(A\tau, \mathbb{Z}^N\right) \geq \min\left(\gamma|A\tau|_2, \alpha\right) = \gamma|A\tau|_2.$$

By the assumptions that $|A\tau|_2 \geq |\tau|_2$ and $\mathrm{LCD}_{\alpha,\gamma}\left(A\right) \geq \sqrt{n}/t$, we conclude that

$$\text{either} \quad |\theta_1 - \theta_2|_2 \geq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{z} =: R \quad \text{or} \quad |\theta_1 - \theta_2|_2 \leq \frac{2st}{\gamma z} =: r.$$

Hence, T_s can be covered by a union of Euclidean balls of radius r whose centers are R-separated, which proves (3.10). Next, for $j \geq 1$, let

$$M_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{card}\left(\{i \in I : jR \leq |x_i|_2 \leq (j+1)R\}\right).$$

To estimate M_j, use a well-known volumetric argument. Indeed, since $\{x_i\}_{i\in I}$ are R-separated, we know that the Euclidean balls $B\left(x_i, R/2\right)$ are disjoint and contained in the shell

$$\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left(j - 1/2\right)R \leq |y|_2 \leq \left(j + 3/2\right)R\right\}.$$

Hence, taking the volume,

$$\begin{aligned} M_j \left(\frac{R}{2}\right)^n &\leq R^n\left((j+3/2)^n - (j-1/2)^n\right) \\ &= R^n\left(j+1/2\right)^n \left(\left(1 + \frac{2}{2j+1}\right)^n - \left(1 - \frac{2}{2j+1}\right)^n\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since for every $x \in (0,1)$, we have $(1+x)^n - (1-x)^n \leq 2nx\left(1+x\right)^{n-1}$, we conclude that

$$M_j \leq n2^n\left(j+1\right)^{n-1}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Using the covering lemma, we can now prove the required volume estimate.

Corollary 3.4. *Let r and R be as in Lemma 3.3. If $R \geq 2r$, then*

$$\gamma_n\left(T_s\right) \leq \left(\frac{Cr}{R}\right)^n = \left(\frac{2Cts}{\gamma\sqrt{n}}\right)^n.$$

Proof. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\gamma_n\left(B\left(y, r\right)\right) = \frac{1}{\left(2\pi\right)^{n/2}} \int_{|y-x|_2 \leq r} e^{-\frac{|x|_2^2}{2}} dx.$$

Since $|x|_2^2 + |x-y|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(|y|_2^2 + |2x-y|_2^2\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}|y|_2^2$,

$$\gamma_n\left(B\left(y,r\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{\left(2\pi\right)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|y|_2^2}{4}} \int_{|y-x|_2 \leq r} e^{\frac{|y-x|_2^2}{2}} dx.$$

Therefore, if $|y|_2 \geq R \geq 2r$,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_n\left(B\left(y,r\right)\right) &\leq \frac{1}{\left(2\pi\right)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|y|_2^2}{4}\right) e^{r^2/2} |B\left(0,r\right)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\left(2\pi\right)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|y|_2^2}{8}\right) |B\left(0,r\right)|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Assume that s is such that $r \leq R/2$, i.e. $4ts \leq \gamma\sqrt{n}$, then by (3.10)

$$\gamma_n\left(T_s\right) \leq \sum_{i\in I} \gamma_n\left(B\left(x_i,r\right)\right) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i\in I: jR\leq |x_i|_2 < (j+1)R} \gamma_n\left(B\left(x_i,r\right)\right).$$

Also, for $j \geq 1$, we have by (3.11)

$$\operatorname{card}\left(\left\{i \in I : jR \leq |x_i|_2 < \left(j+1\right)R\right\}\right) \leq C^n j^{n-1}.$$

By (3.12),

$$\gamma_n\left(B\left(x_i,r\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{\left(2\pi\right)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{j^2R^2}{8}\right) |B(0,r)|.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_n\left(T_s\right) &\leq \gamma_n\left(B\left(0,r\right)\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{C^2}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} j^{n-1} \exp\left(-\frac{j^2R^2}{8}\right) |B\left(0,r\right)| \\ &\leq \frac{|B\left(0,r\right)|}{\left(2\pi\right)^{n/2}} \left(1 + C^n \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{n-1} \exp\left(-\frac{j^2R^2}{8}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

The function $v \mapsto v^{n-1}e^{-v^2R^2/8}$ is decreasing for $v \geq 2\sqrt{n}/R$. By comparing series with integrals,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{n-1} \exp\left(-\frac{j^2R^2}{8}\right) &\leq \left(\frac{2\sqrt{n}}{R}\right)^n + \int_0^{\infty} v^{n-1}e^{-v^2R^2/8} dv \\ &= \left(\frac{2\sqrt{n}}{R}\right)^n + \frac{8^{n/2}}{R^n} \int_0^{\infty} u^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} e^{-u} du \leq \left(\frac{Cn^{1/2}}{R}\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $z \geq 1/2\pi$, we have $R \leq 2\pi\sqrt{n}$, so that

$$\left(1 + C^n \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{n-1} \exp\left(-\frac{j^2R^2}{8}\right)\right) \leq \left(\frac{C_1 n^{1/2}}{R}\right)^n.$$

Moreover, it is well-known that $|B\left(0,r\right)| \leq C_2^n n^{-n/2} r^n$ which implies by (3.13) that

$$\gamma_n\left(T_s\right) \leq \left(\frac{Cr}{R}\right)^n = \left(\frac{2Cts}{\gamma\sqrt{n}}\right)^n,$$

which completes the proof. □

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Lemma 3.2 and (3.9), to have a small ball estimate it is enough to evaluate the integral

$$\int_0^\infty 8bs\exp\left(-4bs^2\right)\gamma_n\left(T_s\right)ds.$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty 8bs\exp\left(-4bs^2\right)\gamma_n\left(T_s\right)ds \\
&\quad=\int_0^{\alpha/2} 8bs\exp\left(-4bs^2\right)\gamma_n\left(T_s\right)ds+\int_{\alpha/2}^\infty 8bs\exp\left(-4bs^2\right)\gamma_n\left(T_s\right)ds \\
&\quad\le\int_0^{\alpha/2} 8bs\exp\left(-4bs^2\right)\gamma_n\left(T_s\right)ds+\exp\left(-b\alpha^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Assume first that $\alpha\le\frac{\gamma\sqrt{n}}{2t}$ so that for any $s\le\alpha/2$ we have $R\ge 2r$. By Corollary 3.4,

$$\gamma_n\left(T_s\right)\le\left(\frac{2Cts}{\gamma\sqrt{n}}\right)^n,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\alpha/2} 8bs\exp\left(-4bs^2\right)\gamma_n\left(T_s\right)ds &\le\int_0^{\alpha/2} 8bs\exp\left(-4bs^2\right)\left(\frac{2Cts}{\gamma\sqrt{n}}\right)^n ds \\
&\le 8b\left(\frac{2Ct}{\gamma\sqrt{n}}\right)^n\int_0^\infty s^{n+1}e^{-4bs^2}ds \\
&=\left(\frac{Ct}{\gamma\sqrt{b}\sqrt{n}}\right)^n\int_0^\infty u^{n/2}e^{-u}du \\
&\le\left(\frac{C't}{\gamma\sqrt{b}}\right)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Assume otherwise that $\alpha\ge\frac{\gamma\sqrt{n}}{2t}\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}\alpha_0$. Then, as before,

$$\int_0^\infty 8bs\exp\left(-4bs^2\right)\gamma_n\left(T_s\right)ds\le\int_0^{\alpha_0/2} 8bs\exp\left(-4bs^2\right)\gamma_n\left(T_s\right)ds+\exp\left(-b\alpha_0^2\right).$$

For $s\le\alpha_0/2$ we do exactly the same computation as in the first case and obtain

$$\int_0^\infty 8bs\exp\left(-4bs^2\right)\gamma_n\left(T_s\right)ds\le\left(\frac{C't}{\gamma\sqrt{b}}\right)^n+\exp\left(-b\alpha_0^2\right).$$

In this case, we also have

$$\exp\left(-b\alpha_0^2\right)=\exp\left(-\frac{b\gamma^2 n}{4t^2}\right)\le\left(\frac{Ct}{\gamma\sqrt{b}}\right)^n.$$

This concludes the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\exp\left(-4bf\left(\theta\right)^2\right)\exp\left(-|\theta|_2^2/2\right)d\theta\le\left(\frac{Ct}{\gamma\sqrt{b}}\right)^n+\exp\left(-b\alpha^2\right).$$

Using Lemma 3.2, Theorem 3.1 follows. □

References

[AGL+12] R. Adamczak, O. Guédon, R. Latała, A. E. Litvak, C. Oleszkiewicz, A. Pajor, and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *Moment estimates for convex measures*, Electron. J. Probab. **17** (2012), 1–19.

[Bre11] H. Brezis, *Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2011.

[FG11] O. Friedland and O. Guédon, *Random embedding of ℓ_p^n into ℓ_r^N*, Math. Ann. **350** (2011), no. 4, 953–972.

[FS07] O. Friedland and S. Sodin, *Bounds on the concentration function in terms of the Diophantine approximation*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **345** (2007), no. 9, 513–518.

[GM04] E. Gluskin and V. Milman, *Geometric probability and random cotype 2*, Geometric aspects of functional analysis, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1850, Springer, Berlin, 2004, pp. 123–138.

[LL15] Ming-Jun Lai and Yang Liu, *The probabilistic estimates on the largest and smallest q-singular values of random matrices*, Math. Comp. **84** (2015), no. 294, 1775–1794.

[LO05] R. Latała and K. Oleszkiewicz, *Small ball probability estimates in terms of widths*, Studia Math. **169** (2005), no. 3, 305–314.

[LS01] W. V. Li and Q.-M. Shao, *Gaussian processes: inequalities, small ball probabilities and applications*, Stochastic processes: theory and methods, Handbook of Statist., vol. 19, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001, pp. 533–597.

[Ngu12] H. H. Nguyen, *Inverse Littlewood-Offord problems and the singularity of random symmetric matrices*, Duke Math. J. **161** (2012), no. 4, 545–586.

[NV13] H. H Nguyen and V. Vu, *Small ball probability, inverse theorems, and applications*, Preprint available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1301.0019`, 2013.

[Pao12] G. Paouris, *Small ball probability estimates for log-concave measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 1, 287–308.

[PP13] G. Paouris and P. Pivovarov, *Small-ball probabilities for the volume of random convex sets*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **49** (2013), no. 3, 601–646.

[RV08] M. Rudelson and R. Vershynin, *The Littlewood-Offord problem and invertibility of random matrices*, Adv. Math. **218** (2008), no. 2, 600–633.

[RV09] ———, *Smallest singular value of a random rectangular matrix*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **62** (2009), no. 12, 1707–1739.

[TV09a] T. Tao and V. H. Vu, *From the Littlewood-Offord problem to the circular law: universality of the spectral distribution of random matrices*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **46** (2009), no. 3, 377–396.

[TV09b] ———, *Inverse Littlewood-Offord theorems and the condition number of random discrete matrices*, Ann. of Math. (2) **169** (2009), no. 2, 595–632.

[TV12] ———, *The Littlewood-Offord problem in high dimensions and a conjecture of Frankl and Füredi*, Combinatorica **32** (2012), no. 3, 363–372.

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

E-mail address: `omer.friedland@imj-prg.fr`

School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia

E-mail address: `ohad.giladi@newcastle.edu.au`

Laboratoire d'Analyse et Mathématiques Appliquées, Université Paris-Est, 77454 Marne-la-Vallée, France

E-mail address: `olivier.guedon@u-pem.fr`